arXiv:astro-ph/9612141v1 13 Dec 1996

Tilted Hybrid Inflation

Juan García-Bellido
Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Andrei Linde
Physics Department, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305-4060, USA

(December 13, 1996)

We propose a new version of the hybrid inflation scenario that produces a significantly 'tilted' $n > 1$ spectrum of curvature perturbations. This may happen in supersymmetric models where the inflaton field acquires a mass proportional to the Hubble constant, and in the models where this field non-minimally couples to gravity. A large tilt of the spectrum in this scenario is often accompanied by a considerable contribution of tensor perturbations to the temperature anisotropies. We also show that under certain conditions the spectrum of density perturbations in this scenario may have a minimum on an intermediate length scale.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq Preprint CERN-TH/96-358, SU-ITP-96-60 astro-ph/9612141

The inflationary paradigm [1] not only provides a solution to the classical problems of the hot big bang cosmology, but also predicts an almost scale invariant spectrum of curvature perturbations which could be responsible for the observed anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background (CMB), as well as the origin of the large scale structure. Until recently, observations of the temperature fluctuations in the CMB provided just a few constraints on the parameters of inflationary models, mainly from the amplitude and the tilt of both scalar (density) and tensor (gravitational waves) perturbations spectrum. Nowadays, with several different experiments looking at different angular scales, we have much more information about both spectra as well as other cosmological parameters like Ω_0, H_0, $\Omega_{\rm B}$, etc. In the near future, most of these parameters will be known with better than a few percent accuracy, thanks to the new generation of microwave anisotropy satellites, *MAP* [2] and *COBRAS/SAMBA* [3]. It is therefore the responsibility of theoretical cosmologists to provide a variety of inflationary models with definite predictions, which could be compared with the observational data.

In this paper, we consider a new model of hybrid inflation [4] with a large tilt for the spectral index of density perturbations. Models of hybrid inflation are known to provide a blue tilted spectrum of scalar perturbations, with negligible contribution of gravitational waves [4–7]. However, in most of the hybrid inflation models developed so far the tilt is extremely small; in order to achieve a considerable tilt of the spectrum in hybrid inflation one needs to fine tune the parameters of the model [7,8]. In what follows we will introduce a new class of hybrid inflation models where a significant tilt can be achieved in a natural way.

Such a tilted model could be a simple way of implementing an open inflation model [9,10] together with a tilted spectrum, which is one of the preferred choices suggested by present observations of the CMB and large scale structure, see Ref. [11]. We will deal with such a possibility in a following publication [12].

The simplest realization of hybrid inflation in the context of the chaotic inflation scenario is provided by the potential [4]

$$V(\phi,\psi) = \frac{1}{4\lambda}\left(M^2 - \lambda\psi^2\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}g^2\phi^2\psi^2\,. \quad (1)$$

The bare masses m and M of the scalar fields ϕ and ψ are "dressed" by their mutual interaction. At large values of the fields, their effective masses squared are both positive and the potential has the symmetry $\psi \leftrightarrow -\psi$. At small values of the field ϕ, the potential has a maximum at $\phi = \psi = 0$ and a global minimum at $\phi = 0, \psi = \psi_0 \equiv M/\sqrt{\lambda}$, where the above symmetry is broken.

Equations of motion for the homogeneous fields at the stage of their slow rolling during inflation are

$$3H\dot\phi = -(m^2 + g^2\psi^2)\phi\,, \quad (2)$$

$$3H\dot\psi = (M^2 - g^2\phi^2 - \lambda\psi^2)\psi\,, \quad (3)$$

where the Hubble constant at that stage is given by $H^2 = 8\pi V/3M_{\rm P}^2$. Motion starts at large ϕ, where the effective mass squared of the ψ field is positive and the field is sitting at the minimum of the potential at $\psi = 0$. As the field ϕ decreases, its quantum fluctuations produce an almost scale invariant but slightly tilted spectrum of density perturbations [4–8].

During the slow-roll of the field ϕ, the effective mass of the triggering field is $m_\psi^2 = g^2\phi^2 - M^2$. When the field ϕ acquires the critical value $\phi_c \equiv M/g$, fluctuations of the massless ψ field trigger the symmetry breaking phase transition that ends inflation. If the bare mass M of the σ field is large compared with the rate of expansion H of the universe, the transition will be instantaneous and inflation will end abruptly [4]. If on the contrary the bare mass M is of the order of H, then the transition will be very slow and there is the possibility of having yet a few more e-folds of inflation after the phase transition [13,14].

At $\psi = 0$ the inflaton potential is $V(\phi) = M^4/4\lambda + m^2\phi^2/2$. Since the scalar field ϕ takes relatively small values, for $m^2 \ll g^2M^2/\lambda$ the energy density is dominated by the vacuum energy,

$$H_0^2 = \frac{2\pi M^4}{3\lambda M_{\rm P}^2}\,, \tag{4}$$

to very good accuracy. It is then possible to integrate the evolution equation for ϕ,

$$\phi(N) = \phi_c\, \exp(rN)\,, \tag{5}$$

$$r = \frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{m^2}{H_0^2}} \simeq \frac{m^2}{3H_0^2}\,, \tag{6}$$

where $N = H_0(t_e - t)$ is the number of e-folds to the end of inflation at $\phi = \phi_c$, and we have used the approximation $m \lesssim H_0$.

An important feature of the perturbation spectrum of the hybrid inflation model is its growth at large k; for example, at the end of inflation in this theory one has [4,5]:

$$\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}(k) = \frac{2\sqrt{6\pi}gM^5}{5\lambda\sqrt{\lambda}m^2M_{\rm P}^3}\left(\frac{k}{H_0}\right)^{\frac{m^2}{3H_0^2}}\,, \tag{7}$$

which corresponds to a spectral index

$$n \simeq 1 + \frac{2m^2}{3H_0^2} = 1 + \frac{\lambda m^2 M_{\rm P}^2}{\pi M^4}\,. \tag{8}$$

One should note that even though the spectral index n in this model is greater than one, for typical values of the parameters, $n = 1$ with great accuracy. For example, one may consider the numerical values of parameters for the version of the hybrid inflation model discussed in Ref. [4]: $g^2 \sim \lambda \sim 10^{-1}$, $m \sim 10^2$ GeV (electroweak scale), $M \sim 10^{11}$ GeV. In this case $n - 1 = \mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$. Thus it is not very easy to use hybrid inflation in order to obtain a blue spectrum without fine-tuning. One could even argue that hybrid inflation has a very stable prediction that the spectrum must be almost exactly flat; see, however, Ref. [7].

This conclusion would be somewhat premature. Indeed, one can follow the lines of Ref. [15] and consider models where the field ϕ during inflation acquires an effective mass squared

$$m_{\rm eff}^2 = m^2 + \alpha H^2\,, \tag{9}$$

with $\alpha = \mathcal{O}(1)$. This is a very natural assumption which is true in a large class of supersymmetric models [16,8]. For example, effective potentials of the scalar fields in supergravity usually grow as an exponent of the square of the scalar field. A simplest potential of such type (for $\psi = 0$, i.e. during the stage of inflation in our scenario) is given by

$$V(\phi) = V_0\, \exp\left(\frac{4\pi\alpha\phi^2}{3M_{\rm P}^2}\right)\,, \tag{10}$$

where $V_0 = M^4/4\lambda$ is the vacuum energy, and we are assuming $4\pi\alpha\phi^2/3 \ll M_{\rm P}^2$. The effective mass of ϕ becomes

$$m^2 = V''(\phi) = \alpha H^2\left(1 + \frac{8\pi\alpha\phi^2}{3M_{\rm P}^2}\right) \simeq \alpha H^2\,. \tag{11}$$

The field evolves according to Eq. (5) with $r \simeq \alpha/3$, and $\dot\phi^2 + m^2\phi^2 \ll V_0$ is satisfied during inflation.

The same conclusion is also true for the models where the field ϕ has a non-minimal coupling with gravity described by the additional term $-\xi R\phi^2/2$ in the Lagrangian. During hybrid inflation $R = 12H_0^2$, and the field ϕ acquires an effective mass (9) with $\alpha = 12\xi$. In such models one should consider those parts of the universe where $\phi \ll M_{\rm P}/\sqrt{\alpha}$, because at much larger values of ϕ the effective gravitational constant $G^{-1} = M_{\rm P}^2/16\pi - \xi\phi^2/2$ becomes singular. For $\phi \ll M_{\rm P}/\sqrt{\alpha}$ the Hubble constant can be approximated by Eq. (4).

A generalization of Eq. (7) for this case in the regime $\alpha H^2 \gg m^2$ is

$$\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}(k) = \frac{9\sqrt{2}H}{5\pi\alpha\phi} = \frac{3\sqrt{6}\,gM}{5\sqrt{\lambda\pi}\,\alpha M_{\rm P}}\left(\frac{k}{H_0}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{3}}\,. \tag{12}$$

Here ϕ is the value of the scalar field at the moment when the perturbations are produced which at the end of inflation have momentum k. These perturbations have spectral index $n - 1 \simeq 2\alpha/3 > 0$ for $\alpha \lesssim 1$. We call such models *tilted hybrid inflation*: they provide a spectrum of density perturbations with a positive tilt which may be large, and which may not require any fine tuning of parameters.

For most applications it is not very important which particular mechanism gives the inflaton mass the contribution proportional to αH^2; one may simply assume that for whatever reason the effective mass of the inflaton field is given by $m_{\rm eff}^2 = m^2 + \alpha H^2$, and that $\alpha H^2 \gg m^2$ at the last stages of inflation. For definiteness, we will concentrate on the model of Eq. (10). In this model, the condition for inflation to occur, $-\dot H \ll H^2$, is

$$\phi < \phi_{\rm inf} \equiv \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\pi}\,\alpha}\,M_{\rm P}\,. \tag{13}$$

As a consequence, the number of e-folds, see Eq. (5), $N = (3/\alpha)\,\ln(\phi/\phi_c)$, has a maximum value

$$N < N_{\rm max} \equiv \frac{3}{\alpha}\,\ln\left(\frac{3g\,M_{\rm P}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\,\alpha M}\right)\,. \tag{14}$$

For this model, the slow-roll parameters are [5]

$$\epsilon = \frac{M_{\rm P}^2}{16\pi}\left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2\phi^2}{9M_{\rm P}^2}\,, \tag{15}$$

$$\eta = \frac{M_{\rm P}^2}{8\pi}\left(\frac{V''}{V}\right) = \frac{\alpha}{3}\left(1 + \frac{8\pi\alpha\phi^2}{3M_{\rm P}^2}\right)\,, \tag{16}$$

which gives a spectrum of curvature perturbations

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \frac{4\pi}{M_{\rm P}^2}\Big(\frac{H}{2\pi}\Big)^2\frac{1}{\epsilon} = \frac{9H^2}{4\pi^2\alpha^2\phi^2} \qquad (17)$$

where ϕ is the value of the scalar field at the moment when those perturbations which have momentum k at the end of inflation were produced. This spectrum has a tilt

$$n - 1 = \frac{d\ln\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}}{d\ln k} = \frac{2\alpha}{3}\Big(1 - \frac{4\pi\alpha\phi^2}{3M_{\rm P}^2}\Big)\,. \qquad (18)$$

Note that the spectrum has a minimum at

$$\phi = \phi_{\rm min} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi\alpha}}\,M_{\rm P}\,, \qquad (19)$$

where the tilt is $n = 1$ and the spectrum is locally scale invariant. Later one, it will acquire the asymptotic value $n = 1 + 2\alpha/3$. This is an interesting feature of our model.

In order to find observational constraints on the parameters of this model one should consider temperature anisotropies of the microwave background produced by the scalar (density) and tensor (gravity wave) perturbations. Quantum fluctuations of the inflaton field ϕ produce long-wavelength curvature perturbations. We will use $\mathcal{R}$ to denote the curvature perturbation on comoving hypersurfaces. In order to compare with observations we have to compute the effect that such a perturbation has on the temperature anisotropies of the CMB (expanded in spherical harmonics),

$$\frac{\delta T}{T}(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{lm} a_{lm}\,Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)\,. \qquad (20)$$

The main effect on large scales comes from the ordinary Sachs-Wolfe effect [17]. The angular power spectrum, $C_l \equiv \langle|a_{lm}|^2\rangle$, can be computed from the spectrum of scalar perturbations, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$, as

$$C_l = 2\pi^2\int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k}\,\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k)\,I_{kl}^2\,, \qquad (21)$$

where the 'window function' I_{kl} indicates how a given scale k contributes to the l-th multipole of the power spectrum and it can be expressed, for a flat universe, in terms of spherical Bessel functions [5]. In our model, the spectrum of the scalar perturbations (evaluated at the end of inflation) is given by Eq. (17). For an arbitrary tilt of the spectrum, $n \neq 1$, the power spectrum behaves as

$$C_l = C_2\,\frac{\Gamma(l+\frac{n-1}{2})\,\Gamma(4-\frac{n-1}{2})}{\Gamma(l+2-\frac{n-1}{2})\,\Gamma(2+\frac{n-1}{2})}\,. \qquad (22)$$

Note that for a nearly scale invariant spectrum, $n \simeq 1$, we recover the familiar result

$$l(l+1)\,C_l \simeq \frac{2\pi}{25}\,\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \simeq {\rm constant}\,. \qquad (23)$$

Observations of the first few multipoles of the angular power spectrum by COBE [18] already constrain $l(l+1)\,C_l \simeq 8\times10^{-10}$ on large scales. This imposes a constraint on the parameters of the model,

$$\frac{3g^2M^2\,e^{-2\alpha N/3}}{2\lambda\,\alpha^2\,M_{\rm P}^2} \simeq 10^{-8}\,, \qquad (24)$$

where $N = \ln(H_0/k)$ is the number of e-folds from the end of inflation when the scale k crossed outside the horizon, and $\phi_N = (M/g)\,\exp(\alpha N/3)$ is the value of the scalar field at that time. The observations made by COBE also constrain the tilt of the spectrum on large scales, $n = 1.2 \pm 0.3$ at the 2σ level [18]. In our model, the tilt is $n = 1 + 2\alpha/3$. For example, for $\alpha = 0.25$ one has $n \simeq 1.2$. The number of e-folds associated with scales corresponding to our present horizon depends only logarithmically on quantities like the reheating temperature,

$$N \simeq 55 + \frac{2}{3}\ln\Big(\frac{V^{1/4}}{10^{16}{\rm GeV}}\Big) + \frac{1}{3}\ln\Big(\frac{T_{\rm rh}}{10^9{\rm GeV}}\Big)\,. \qquad (25)$$

For $N \simeq 55$, and values of the couplings $g^2 \sim \lambda \sim 0.1$, we have

$$M \simeq 2\times10^{-3}M_{\rm P} \simeq 2\times10^{16}{\rm GeV}\,, \qquad (26)$$

which is the GUT scale. If the scalar field ϕ has a bare mass m, it should be smaller than

$$\sqrt{\alpha}H_0 \simeq 10^{-5}M_{\rm P}\,. \qquad (27)$$

As long as m is smaller than $\sqrt{\alpha}H_0$, nothing depends on this parameter, so we do not need to fine-tune m in order to obtain adiabatic scalar perturbations with a blue spectrum. Since $H_0 \ll M$, hybrid inflation will end with a sudden transition to the symmetry breaking phase of the triggering field, without a second stage of inflation like the one discussed in Refs. [13,14].

Depending on the parameters of the theory and the efficiency of reheating, the minimum of the spectrum of curvature perturbations (19) for $\alpha \sim 0.25$ could lie on scales comparable to the scale of our present horizon. If the minimum corresponds to the horizon scale, the spectrum on this scale will be practically flat. For the parameters used above, $\alpha = 0.25$ and $g^2 \simeq \lambda \simeq 0.1$, we find $N_{\rm min} \equiv N(\phi_{\rm min}) \sim 60$, which is similar to the number of e-folds corresponding to the scale of the horizon. In this case, the scalar spectrum is nearly scale invariant, $n = 1$, see Eq. (18), on those scales, but soon rises to an asymptotic value $n \simeq 1.2$ on smaller scales. On the other hand, for $\alpha \gtrsim 0.25$ one may obtain a spectrum with a minimum at some intermediate scale. This would lead to an increase of density perturbations on the horizon scale with respect to its amplitude in the minimum. However, this could occur only for some particular choice of the parameters.

Note that in our model inflation is naturally very short. For example, in the theories with the potential (10) inflation occurs only for $\phi < \phi_{\rm inf}$, which gives $N < N_{\rm max} \simeq 75$

for $g^2 = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 0.25$, see Eq. (14). Meanwhile for $\alpha \sim 0.3$ one has $N_{\rm max} \simeq 60$. It makes this model very interesting from the point of view of open inflation, where excessive inflation is undesirable [12], but simultaneously implies that $n \sim 1.2 - 1.3$ is about the largest spectral index that can be obtained in our scenario [19]. On the other hand, observations of large scale structure do not allow a much larger tilt [20], while constraints from primordial black hole production [21] give a similar bound.

This model also has tensor or gravitational wave perturbations which could in principle distort the CMB. The amplitude of the tensor perturbations spectrum is given by [5,7]

$$\mathcal{P}_g = \frac{64\pi}{M_{\rm P}^2}\Big(\frac{H}{2\pi}\Big)^2 = \frac{16H^2}{\pi M_{\rm P}^2}\,. \tag{28}$$

Let us define the ratio of tensor to scalar components of temperature anisotropies as [5]

$$R \simeq \frac{3\mathcal{P}_g}{4\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}} = \frac{16\pi\alpha^2\phi^2}{3M_{\rm P}^2}\,. \tag{29}$$

At present there is no observational evidence of any tensor component in the CMB anisotropies; the observed power spectrum on large scales is perfectly compatible with a scalar component and no tensors. In the future, the new generation of satellites will be able to separate the two components if the ratio is large enough ($R > 0.15$), see Ref. [3]. The condition $R \ll 1$ together with Eq. (24) gives

$$\frac{H^2}{M_{\rm P}^2} \ll 8 \times 10^{-10}\,. \tag{30}$$

For $\alpha < 0.2$, $\lambda \sim g^2 \simeq 0.1$ this condition is satisfied. For greater values of α parameters, one could have a significant contribution of tensor perturbations to the temperature anisotropies. For example, for $\phi = \phi_{\rm min}$ at horizon scales we have $R = 4\alpha/3$, and the constraint on the scalar amplitude (24) is then more stringent by a factor $(1+R)$. However, this practically does not change the values of the parameters of our model for $\alpha = 0.25, \lambda \sim g^2 \sim 0.1$.

In conclusion, we have found a model of hybrid inflation which provides, in a natural way, a positive tilted spectrum with the correct amount of scalar and tensor perturbations to be in agreement with large scale observations of the CMB anisotropies.

The work by A.L. was supported by NSF grant PHY-9219345. This work was also supported by a NATO Collaborative Research Grant, Ref. CRG.950760.

---

[1] A. D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology* (Harwood, Chur, Switzerland, 1990).

[2] *MAP* Home Page at `http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/` (1996).

[3] *COBRAS/SAMBA* Home Page at `http://astro.estec.esa.nl/SA-general/Projects/Cobras/cobras.html` (1996).

[4] A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B259**, 38 (1991); Phys. Rev. D **49**, 748 (1994).

[5] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Rep. **231**, 1 (1993).

[6] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6410 (1994).

[7] J. García-Bellido and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 7181 (1996), `astro-ph/9606047`.

[8] For a comprehensive review, see D.H. Lyth, “Models of Inflation and the Spectral Index of the Density Perturbation,” `hep-ph/9609431` (1996).

[9] J. R. Gott, Nature, **295**, 304 (1982); M. Sasaki, T. Tanaka, K. Yamamoto and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Lett. B **317**, 510 (1993); M. Bucher, A. S. Goldhaber and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3314 (1995).

[10] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B 351**, 99 (1995); A. D. Linde and A. Mezhlumian, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 6789 (1995).

[11] M. White and J. Silk, *Observational Constraints on Open Inflation Models*, e-Print Archive: `astro-ph/9608177` (1996).

[12] J. García-Bellido and A. D. Linde, in preparation.

[13] L. Randall, M. Soljačić and A. H. Guth, Nucl. Phys. **B 472**, 377 (1996).

[14] J. García-Bellido, A. D. Linde and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 6040 (1996), `astro-ph/9605094`.

[15] A. Linde and V. Mukhanov, *NonGaussian Isocurvature Perturbations from Inflation*, `astro-ph/9610219` (1996).

[16] M. Dine, W. Fischler and D. Nemeschansky, Phys. Lett. **B136**, 169 (1984); G.D. Coughlan, R. Holman, P. Ramond and G.G. Ross, Phys. Lett. **B140**, 44 (1984); A.S. Goncharov, A.D. Linde, and M.I. Vysotsky, Phys. Lett. **147B**, 279 (1984); M. Dine, L. Randall and S. Thomas, Nucl. Phys. **458**, 291 (1996);

[17] R. K. Sachs and A. M. Wolfe, Astrophys. J. **147**, 73 (1967).

[18] C. L. Bennett, et al., Astrophys. J. **464**, L1 (1996).

[19] One can get much greater values of n in the model of Ref. [15], both for adiabatic and isothermal perturbations.

[20] A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, R. K. Schaefer, Q. Shafi and T. P. Viana, Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. **281**, 531 (1996).

[21] B. J. Carr, J. H. Gilbert and J. E. Lidsey, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 4853 (1994).